

# Outline of the relationship between the difference-of-Gaussian and Laplacian-of-Gaussian

Konstantinos G. Derpanis  
Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
York University  
kosta@cs.yorku.ca

September 19, 2006

The difference-of-Gaussian (DoG) kernel is widely used as an approximation to the scale-normalized Laplacian-of-Gaussian (LoG) kernel (e.g., (Burt & Adelson, 1983; Crowley & Parker, 1984; Lowe, 2004)). In this note the relationship between the difference-of-Gaussian and Laplacian-of-Gaussian image representations is established. This note is adapted from (Marr & Hildreth, 1980; Lowe, 2004).

The DoG image representation,  $\text{DoG}(x, y, \sigma)$ , is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DoG}(x, y, \sigma) &= \left( G(x, y, k\sigma) - G(x, y, \sigma) \right) * I(x, y) & (1) \\ &= L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma), & (2)\end{aligned}$$

where  $G(x, y, \sigma)$  represents the Gaussian kernel:

$$G(x, y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$L(x, y, \sigma) = G(x, y, \sigma) * I(x, y). \quad (4)$$

The scale-normalized LoG image representation is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LoG}(x, y, \sigma) &= \sigma^2 \nabla^2 L(x, y, \sigma) & (5) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left( L_{xx} + L_{yy} \right). & (6)\end{aligned}$$

The DoG and LoG can be related through the use of the (heat) diffusion equation,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} = \sigma \nabla^2 L. \quad (7)$$

The diffusion equation (7) can be approximated as follows,

$$\sigma \nabla^2 L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} \tag{8}$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma)}{k\sigma - \sigma} \tag{9}$$

$$\approx \frac{L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma)}{k\sigma - \sigma} \tag{10}$$

Finally, rearranging (10) yields,

$$(k\sigma - \sigma)\sigma \nabla^2 L \approx L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma) \tag{11}$$

$$(k - 1)\sigma^2 \nabla^2 L \approx L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma) \tag{12}$$

$$(k - 1)\sigma^2 \text{LoG} \approx \text{DoG}. \tag{13}$$

As can be seen, the DoG approximates the scale normalized LoG up to a (negligible) multiplicative constant,  $k - 1$ , that is present at all scales.

For an empirical study of the precision of this approximation, see (Crowley & Riff, 2003). Finally, Grabner et al. (Grabner, Grabner & Bischof, 2006) propose a fast approximation of the DoG, the Difference-of-Mean (DoM) representation, that consists of a box filter combined with an *integral image* (c.f. (Viola & Jones, 2004)). Given the integral image, the mean within a rectangular region can be computed in constant time (independent of the size of the region).

## References

- Burt, P. & Adelson, E. (1983). The Laplacian pyramid as a compact image code. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 31(4), 532–540.
- Crowley, J. & Parker, A. (1984). A representation for shape based on peaks and ridges in the difference of low-pass transform. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 6(2), 156–169.
- Crowley, J. & Riff, O. (2003). Fast computation of scale normalised Gaussian receptive fields. In *Scale Space* (pp. 584–598).
- Grabner, M., Grabner, H. & Bischof, H. (2006). Fast approximated sift. In *Asian Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1:918–927).
- Lowe, D. G. (2004). Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 60(2), 91–110.

Marr, D. & Hildreth, E. (1980). Theory of edge detection. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B-207*, 187–217.

Viola, P. & Jones, M. (2004). Robust real-time face detection. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *57*(2), 137–154.