## York University

Faculties of Pure and Applied Science, Arts, Atkinson MATH 2090. Problem Set #2. Posted October 8, 2003

Due in the Course Box: October 17, 2003

## Section A

In your proofs it is imperative to clearly state what **tools** you use (e.g., WLUS, s WLUS, MP, Auxiliary Variable, Monotonicity, Deduction Theorem, Generalisation, which axiom(s), etc.)

 When doing problems from GS, please <u>convert GS-assertions</u> to "standard notation" <u>before</u> you start your proof. Conventions **AND** notation from class apply!

2. Note that we *only* have the set theory axioms presented in the web documents "Notes on a (very) Elementary Set Theory" Parts I and II.

3. Informal proofs are allowed unless otherwise stated. Like formal proofs, they must be **complete** and **correct**.

Marks will be deducted from very long unreadable proofs even if they are correct. Please <u>think</u> before you start "proving".

Probl. 1. From the text p.213–215: Do the problems

11.7(c), 11.12((a), (b), (d)), 11.13(e), 11.15, 11.18

For the first and last in the list above, formal proofs are required.

Also do

**Probl. 2.** Prove informally  $ST \vdash (\forall a, b, c, d) (\{a, \{a, b\}\}) = \{c, \{c, d\}\} \Rightarrow a = c \land b = d).$ 

Page 1

G. Tourlakis

Ş

To avoid an embarrassing situation I note that the above is **not** the same problem that I assigned last year. Do you see the difference?

**Probl. 3.** Give a **formal** proof of  $ST \vdash A \subset B \Rightarrow (\exists x)(x \notin A)$ .

**Probl. 4.** Prove without using the axiom of foundation that  $1 \neq \{1\}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\}$ .

G. Tourlakis

Ś