The Big O Notation

Franck van Breugel

July 30, 2007

We use \mathbb{N} to denote the set $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ of natural numbers. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function from natural numbers to natural numbers. We will associate such a function to a piece of Java code. This function will capture the answer to the question "How many elementary operations are at most performed when executing the Java code for input of a given size?"

Consider the following method.

```
/**
1
      Returns the factorial of the given number.
2
3
      Cparam n a number.
4
      Qpre. n > 0
5
      Oreturn the factorial of the given number.
6
   */
7
   public static int factorial(int n)
8
   {
9
      long factorial = 1;
10
      int i = 2;
11
      while (i <= n)
12
       {
13
          factorial *= i;
14
          i++;
15
      }
16
      return factorial;
17
   }
18
```

Let us first estimate how many elementary operations are performed at each line of the above method.

We will come back to the fact that these are just estimates. The total number of elementary operations depends on the value of n. Hence, the total number can be captured by a function

 $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$f(n) = 11n + 10$$

where n represents the value of n.

The actual number of elementary operations that are performed depends on many factors. For example, some computers can assign a value to a variable of type long in a single operation whereas other computers may need two. Hence, estimates like

$$f_1(n) = 14n + 12$$

and

$$f_2(n) = 17n + 14$$

may be reasonable as well. The big O notation provides us with an abstraction that can capture all these estimates. The functions f, f_1 and f_2 are all elements of O(n), where we use n to denote the identity function, that is, the function that assigns to n the value n.

Why are f, f_1 and f_2 elements of O(n)? For that we need the formal definition of the big O notation. Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be functions. Then $g \in O(f)$ if

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{N} \; \exists F \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall n \ge M \; g(n) \le F \cdot f(n),$$

that is, we can find a "minimal size" M and a "factor" F such that for all inputs of size n that are greater than or equal to the "minimal size," $g(n) \leq F \cdot f(n)$.

To prove that $f \in O(n)$ we need to pick M and F. Let us pick M = 10 and F = 12. Then it remains to show that

$$\forall n \ge 10 \ 11n + 10 \le 12n,$$

that is, $11n + 10 \le 12n$ for all $n \ge 10$. Let $n \ge 10$. Then $11n + 10 \le 11n + n = 12n$. Hence, the above is true. Similarly, we can prove that $f_1 \in O(n)$. This time we pick M = 12 and F = 15. It remains to prove that

$$\forall n \ge 12 \ 14n + 12 \le 15n$$

which is trivially true. To prove that $f_2 \in O(n)$, we pick M = 14 and F = 18. In this case, it remains to prove that

$$\forall n \ge 14 \ 17n + 14 \le 18n,$$

which is obviously true.

Let us consider another Java snippet.

```
/**
1
\mathbf{2}
       Sorts the given array.
3
       Oparam a an array of integers.
4
       @pre. a != null
\mathbf{5}
   */
6
   public static void sort(int[] a)
\overline{7}
   {
8
       for (int i = 0; i < a.length - 1; i++)
9
       {
10
           int min = i;
11
```

```
for (int j = i + 1; j < a.length; j++)
12
          {
13
              if (a[j] < a[min])
14
              {
15
                 min = j;
16
              }
17
          }
18
          int temp = a[i];
19
          a[i] = a[min];
20
          a[min] = temp;
21
       }
22
   }
23
```

Again, let us first estimate how many elementary operations are performed at each line of the above method.

Note that we do not know how often line 16 is executed. However, we do know that line 16 is executed at most

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

times, where n is the length of the array. An upperbound of the total number of elementary operations that is performed can be captured by a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$f(n) = 10n^2 + 16n - 26,$$

where n represents the length of the array.

To show that $f \in O(n^2)$, we pick M = 16 and F = 11. It remains to prove that

$$\forall n \ge 16 \ 10n^2 + 16n - 26 \le 11n^2.$$

Let $n \ge 16$. Then $10n^2 + 16n - 26 \le 10n^2 + 16n \le 10n^2 + n^2 = 11n^2$. That concludes the proof. For more details on the big O notation, we refer the reader to, for example, [1].

References

[1] Kenneth Rosen. Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications. McGraw-Hill, sixth edition, 2007.