

Midterm Test — March 3, 2022

Duration: 80 minutes

No Aids Allowed

Total marks: 70

Name:

Student Number:

| | |
|-------|-----|
| 1) | /5 |
| 2) | /10 |
| 3) | /10 |
| 4) | /5 |
| 5) | /20 |
| 6) | /10 |
| 7) | /10 |
| Total | /70 |

1. [5 points] For each of the following statements indicate whether it is *true* or *false*:
- (a) In general, for a first-order logic knowledge base KB and query ϕ , checking whether KB entails ϕ is *decidable*.
 - (b) In general, for a propositional logic knowledge base KB and query ϕ , checking whether KB entails ϕ is *decidable*.
 - (c) In general, checking whether a set of first-order Horn clauses is satisfiable is *decidable*.
 - (d) In general, for an \mathcal{ALC} description logic knowledge base KB and query ϕ , checking whether KB entails ϕ is *decidable*.
 - (e) Resolution is *sound*, i.e., if a clause c can be derived by resolution from a set of clauses S , then S entails c .

2. [10 points] Explain in a line or two each of the following:

a) closed-world assumption

b) Horn clause

c) minimal entailment

d) nonmonotonic reasoning

e) SLD resolution

3. [10 points] Translate the following English sentences into first-order logic:

(a) Every person has a parent.

(b) No student failed all courses.

(c) There is a question that every student knows the answer to.

(d) All but one of the puppies are healthy.

(e) A professor is happy if he/she belongs to no committees.

4. [5 points] Skolemizing a CNF formula does not preserve logical equivalence. For example, if we skolemize $\exists x P(x)$, we get $P(a)$, which is not logically equivalent to the original formula. Yet, skolemization works when one does resolution proofs. Briefly explain why.

5. [20 points] Suppose that we have the following knowledge base (KB) represented as a set of FOL sentences about three elephants, Sam, Clyde, and Oscar:

(1) $Pink(sam)$ Sam is pink.

(2) $Gray(clyde)$ Clyde is gray.

(3) $Likes(clyde, oscar)$ Clyde likes Oscar.

(4) $Pink(oscar) \vee Gray(oscar)$ Oscar is either pink or gray.

(6) $\neg Pink(oscar) \vee \neg Gray(oscar)$ Oscar is not both pink and gray.

(6) $Likes(oscar, sam)$ Oscar likes Sam.

(a) Prove that the KB does *not* entail that *Oscar is pink*. That is, show that there is an interpretation that satisfies the KB but does not satisfy this conclusion.

- (b) Using the definition of entailment in terms of interpretations, prove that the KB *entails* that *some grey elephant likes some pink elephant*. Show how the query is expressed in first-order logic and give a detailed proof. *Do not use resolution*.

6. [10 points] Use the tableau method for \mathcal{ALC} described in Baader and Sattler's paper to check whether the following concept is satisfiable/consistent. Show the steps and rules that are used. If the concept is satisfiable give the model(s) (satisfying interpretation(s)) obtained by the method.

$$((\forall R.(\exists R.A)) \sqcap (\exists R.B)) \sqcap (\forall R.((\forall R.\neg A) \sqcup (\forall R.\neg B)))$$

7. [10 points]

a) What are the extension(s) of the default logic theory $\langle \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$, where

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \langle TruckDriver(x) \Rightarrow BeerDrinker(x) \rangle \} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ Sailor(john), TruckDriver(bob) \}?$$

b) What are the extension(s) of the default logic theory $\langle \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$, where

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \langle TruckDriver(x) \Rightarrow BeerDrinker(x) \rangle \} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ Sailor(john), (TruckDriver(bob) \vee TruckDriver(paul)) \}?$$

c) What are the extension(s) of the default theory $\langle \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F} \rangle$, where

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \langle TruckDriver(x) \Rightarrow BeerDrinker(x) \rangle, \langle DoesYoga(x) \Rightarrow \neg BeerDrinker(x) \rangle \}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{F} = \{ TruckDriver(john), DoesYoga(john), TruckDriver(bob) \}?$$