

Midterm Test — October 24, 2018

Duration: 80 minutes

No aids allowed.

Total marks: 75.

Name:

Student Number:

1)	/10
2)	/10
3)	/5
4)	/10
5)	/20
6)	/10
7)	/10
Total	/75

1. [10 points] For each of the following statements indicate whether it is *true* or *false*:
- (a) An agent is an entity that perceives its environment and acts upon it.
 - (b) In the Turing Test, a judge must determine whether he/she is chatting with a computer or a human.
 - (c) A chess playing agent is operating in a *fully observable* environment.
 - (d) A self-driving vehicle is operating in a *discrete* environment.
 - (e) Resolution is sound, i.e., if a clause c can be derived by resolution from a set of clauses S , then S entails c .
 - (f) Resolution is complete, i.e., if a clause c is entailed by a set of clauses S , then c can be derived from S in a forward chaining resolution proof.
 - (g) $(p, q, \neg r)$ is a Horn clause.
 - (h) In SLD-resolution, only negative clauses are derived.
 - (i) An advantage of the forward chaining procedure for Horn clauses is that it is goal directed.
 - (j) In general, determining whether a sentence is entailed by a set of sentences in first-order logic is undecidable.

2. [10 points] Translate the following English sentences into first-order logic:

(a) Every employee has a supervisor.

(b) No student likes a test that is hard.

(c) There is no question such that no student knows the answer.

(d) All but one of the students are happy.

(e) A professor is happy if he/she belongs to no committees.

3. [5 points] Say whether or not the following pairs of expressions are unifiable, and give a most general unifier for each unifiable pair:

(a) $p(X, b, b)$ and $p(a, Y, Z)$

(b) $p(f(X, X), a)$ and $p(f(Y, f(Y, a)), a)$

(c) $p(g(f(V)), g(U))$ and $p(X, X)$

4. [10 points] Implement the following description of a *grandfather* relation using one or more Prolog rules:

X is the father of Y and Y is the father of Z or X is the father of Y and Y is the mother of Z implies X is the grandfather of Z .

5. [20 points] Suppose that we have the following knowledge base (KB) represented as a set of clauses about three elephants, Sam, Clyde, and Oscar:

(1) ($pink(sam)$) Sam is pink.

(2) ($gray(clyde)$) Clyde is gray.

(3) ($likes(clyde, oscar)$) Clyde likes Oscar.

(4) ($pink(oscar), gray(oscar)$) Oscar is either pink or gray.

(6) ($\neg pink(oscar), \neg gray(oscar)$) Oscar is not both pink and gray.

(6) ($likes(oscar, sam)$) Oscar likes Sam.

(a) Prove that the KB does *not* entail that *Oscar is pink*. That is, show that there is an interpretation that satisfies the KB but does not satisfy this conclusion.

- (b) Use *resolution refutation* to prove that *some grey elephant likes some pink elephant*. Give the representation of the query in first-order logic, and its translation in clausal form. You can display the proof either in tree form or as a sequence of statements. Clearly indicate the parents of each resolvent.

6. [10 points] Consider the following Prolog program:

```
p(a) . p(b) .  
q(a) . q(c) .  
  
r1(X) :- p(X), q(X) .  
  
r2(X) :- p(X) .  
r2(X) :- q(X) .  
  
r3(X) :- p(X), \+ q(X) .  
  
r4(X) :- \+ q(X), p(X) .  
  
r5(X) :- p(X), !, q(X) .  
r5(X) :- X = b .
```

For each of the following queries, say whether it succeeds or fails; also if it succeeds and contains the variable X , give *all* the values of X for which the query succeeds:

a) `?- r1(X) .`

b) `?- r2(X) .`

c) `?- r3(X) .`

d) `?- r4(X) .`

e) `?- r5(b) .`

7. [10 points]

- (a) Give a definition of a Prolog predicate `permutation(L1, L2)` that holds if and only if the list `L2` is a permutation of the list `L1`. `L2` is a permutation of `L1` if the two lists contain exactly the same components, but possibly in a different order. Include definitions of all non-built-in predicates that you use.
- (b) Suppose that there are 5 people (John, Wally, Mary, Helen, and Ming) who work in an office with 5 cubicles in a single row. We want to assign the workers to cubicles in a way that satisfies their constraints. Let's represent a cubicle assignment as a list of 5 workers where the first component is the name of the worker who will get the first cubicle, the second component is the name of the worker who will get the second cubicle, and so on. The constraints are that Ming does not want to be next to Wally and that John does not want to have the first cubicle. Give a definition of a Prolog predicate `cubicleAssignment(L)` that holds if and only if the list `L` is a cubicle assignment that satisfies all the constraints. Include definitions of all non-built-in predicates that you use. In your answer, you may use the `permutation` predicate specified in (a).