

## Relational Calculus

### Chapter 4, Part B

## Relational Calculus

- ❖ Comes in two flavors: *Tuple relational calculus* (TRC) and *Domain relational calculus* (DRC).
- ❖ Calculus has *variables, constants, comparison ops, logical connectives* and *quantifiers*.
  - TRC: Variables range over (i.e., get bound to) *tuples*.
  - DRC: Variables range over *domain elements* (= field values).
  - Both TRC and DRC are simple subsets of first-order logic.
- ❖ Expressions in the calculus are called *formulas*. An answer tuple is essentially an assignment of constants to variables that make the formula evaluate to *true*.

## Domain Relational Calculus

- ❖ *Query* has the form:  
$$\{ \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid p(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle) \}$$
- ❖ *Answer* includes all tuples  $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$  that make the *formula*  $p(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle)$  be *true*.
- ❖ *Formula* is recursively defined, starting with simple *atomic formulas* (getting tuples from relations or making comparisons of values), and building bigger and better formulas using the *logical connectives*.

## DRC Formulas

- ❖ *Atomic formula*:
  - $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in R_{name}$ , or  $X \text{ op } Y$ , or  $X \text{ op } \text{constant}$
  - *op* is one of  $<, >, =, \leq, \geq, \neq$
- ❖ *Formula*:
  - an atomic formula, or
  - $\neg p$ ,  $p \wedge q$ ,  $p \vee q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are formulas, or
  - $\exists X (p(X))$ , where variable  $X$  is *free* in  $p(X)$ , or
  - $\forall X (p(X))$ , where variable  $X$  is *free* in  $p(X)$
- ❖ The use of quantifiers  $\exists X$  and  $\forall X$  is said to *bind*  $X$ .
  - A variable that is not bound is *free*.

## Free and Bound Variables

- ❖ The use of quantifiers  $\exists X$  and  $\forall X$  in a formula is said to *bind*  $X$ .
  - A variable that is not bound is *free*.
- ❖ Let us revisit the definition of a query:  
$$\{ \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid p(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle) \}$$
- ❖ There is an important restriction: the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  that appear to the left of  $\mid$  must be the *only* free variables in the formula  $p(\dots)$ .

## Find all sailors with a rating above 7

$$\{ \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge T > 7 \}$$

- ❖ The condition  $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in \text{Sailors}$  ensures that the domain variables  $I, N, T$  and  $A$  are bound to fields of the same Sailors tuple.
- ❖ The term  $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$  to the left of  $\mid$  (which should be read as *such that*) says that every tuple  $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$  that satisfies  $T > 7$  is in the answer.
- ❖ Modify this query to answer:
  - Find sailors who are older than 18 or have a rating under 9, and are called 'Joe'.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved boat #103

$$\langle\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge T > 7 \wedge \\ \exists Ir, Br, D (\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge Ir = I \wedge Br = 103)\rangle\rangle$$

- ❖ We have used  $\exists Ir, Br, D (\dots)$  as a shorthand for  $\exists Ir (\exists Br (\exists D (\dots)))$
- ❖ Note the use of  $\exists$  to find a tuple in Reserves that 'joins with' the Sailors tuple under consideration.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved a red boat

$$\langle\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge T > 7 \wedge \\ \exists Ir, Br, D (\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge Ir = I \wedge \\ \exists B, BN, C (\langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats} \wedge B = Br \wedge C = 'red'))\rangle\rangle$$

- ❖ Observe how the parentheses control the scope of each quantifier's binding.
- ❖ This may look cumbersome, but with a good user interface, it is very intuitive. (MS Access, QBE)

Find sailors who've reserved all boats

$$\langle\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B, BN, C (\neg (\langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats}) \vee \\ (\exists Ir, Br, D (\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge I = Ir \wedge Br = B))\rangle\rangle$$

- ❖ Find all sailors  $I$  such that for each 3-tuple  $\langle B, BN, C \rangle$  either it is not a tuple in Boats or there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor  $I$  has reserved it.

Find sailors who've reserved all red boats (again)

$$\langle\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall \langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats} \\ (\exists \langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} (I = Ir \wedge Br = B))\rangle\rangle$$

- ❖ Simpler notation, same query. (Much clearer!)
- ❖ To find sailors who've reserved all red boats:

$$\dots \langle C \neq 'red' \vee \exists \langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} (I = Ir \wedge Br = B) \rangle\rangle$$

## Unsafe Queries, Expressive Power

- ❖ It is possible to write syntactically correct calculus queries that have an infinite number of answers! Such queries are called *unsafe*.
  - e.g.,  $\{S \mid \neg (S \in \text{Sailors})\}$
- ❖ It is known that every query that can be expressed in relational algebra can be expressed as a safe query in DRC / TRC; the converse is also true.
- ❖ *Relational Completeness*: Query language (e.g., SQL) can express every query that is expressible in relational algebra/calculus.

## Summary

- ❖ Relational calculus is non-operational, and users define queries in terms of what they want, not in terms of how to compute it. (Declarativeness.)
- ❖ Algebra and safe calculus have same expressive power, leading to the notion of relational completeness.