

Genericity

Parameterizing by Type

Generic Class

- One that is parameterized by type
 - » **Works when feature semantics is common to a set of types**
- On object declaration the parameter is assigned a type
 - » **For example**
rowList : ARRAY [MATRIX_ELEMENT]
 - » **We want an array of pointers to matrix elements**
 - » **All the array operations for rowList are customized to use matrix elements**

Common Generic Classes

- Collection classes – classes that are collections of objects
 - » **Strong typing requires specifying a type**
 - » **But feature semantics is independent of type**
- Examples
 - » **Sets, Stacks, Arrays, Queues, Sequences**
 - rowList : ARRAY [MATRIX_ELEMENT]**
 - rowList : ARRAY [INTEGER]**
 - rowList : ARRAY [STACK [ELEPHANTS]]**

Your Generic Classes

- You can write generic classes
- Why is this useful?
 - » **Reuse**
 - > **The basic operations (e.g. extend) are the same.**
 - > **Do not have to re-write the same program text over and over again.**
 - » **Reliability**
 - > **Only write the program text once**

Generic Stack

```
class STACK [ G ] feature  
  count : INTEGER -- number of elements  
  
  empty : BOOLEAN do ... end  
  
  full : BOOLEAN do ... end  
  
  item : G do ... end  
  
  put ( x : G ) do ... end  
  
  remove do ... end  
  
end
```

- Can use parameter **G** where ever a type is expected

Generic Array

```
class ARRAY [ P ]  
create make  
feature  
  make ( minIndex , maxIndex : INTEGER ) do ... end  
  lower, upper, count : INTEGER  
  put ( value : P ; index : INTEGER ) do ... end  
  infix "@" , item ( index : INTEGER ) : P do ... end  
end
```

Using the Generic Array

```
circus : ARRAY [ STACK [ ELEPHANTS ] ]  
create circus . make ( 10 , 200 )
```

```
st_el : STACK [ ELEPHANTS ] -- element to put in the array  
create st_el
```

```
circus . put ( st_el , 30 ) -- put an element into the array
```

```
st_el2 : STACK [ ELEPHANTS ]
```

```
st_el2 := circus @ 101 -- get an element from the array
```

The Type Rule – no Genericity

- Assume class **C** has the feature **f (a : T) : U is ...**
- A call of the form **x . f (d)** appearing in an arbitrary class **B** where **x** is of type **C** is type-wise correct if and only if
 - » **f is available to B**
 - > **exported to B (generally or selectively)**
 - » **d is of type T**
 - > **With inheritance d can be a descendent of T**
 - » **The result is of type U**

The Type Rule – with Genericity

- Assume **C** is generic, with **G** as its parameter and has the feature **h (a : G) : G is ... end**
- A call to **h**, appearing in an arbitrary class **B**, will be of the form **y . h (e)** where **y** has been declared of type **C [V]**
- Then
 - » **h is available to B**
 - > **exported to B (generally or selectively)**
 - » **e must be a descendent of type V (V is a descendent of itself)**
 - » **The result is of type V**

Types of Genericity

- Types
 - » **Unconstrained**
 - » **Constrained**
- The previous examples showed unconstrained genericity
 - » **Any type could be passed as a parameter**

Constrained Genericity

- Used when the generic type parameters must satisfy some conditions
- The following makes sense only if **P** has the feature \geq

```
class RHINO [ P ] feature
  ...
  minimum ( x , y : P ) : P do
    if x  $\geq$  y then
      Result := y
    else
      Result := x
    end
  ...
end
```

How we enforce constraints is discussed in Inheritance Techniques

Constrained Genericity – 2

- In general use the following syntax for constrained genericity
 - » **NAME [TYPE → CONSTRAINING_TYPE , ...]**
 - > **DICTIONARY [G , H → HASHABLE]**
- The → indicates inheritance
 - » **H must be a type that inherits from HASHABLE**
- Inheritance guarantees the type passed has all the features one needs in the context of its use
- Unconstrained genericity is really written as follows
 - > **STACK [G → ANY]**

Discussion on Genericity

- What programming languages offer genericity that you know of? Java? C++? Other?
- C++ has the template: `Set < int > s ;`
- Java had no genericity until v1.5. It is similar to C++.
- What is the effect of genericity on
 - » **compile time**
 - » **size of the generated code**
 - » **execution time**
 - » **execution space**
- **Warning**: generics cheap in Eiffel – expensive in C++

Does run-time vs. compile time matter?

- **Principle:** When flying a plane, **run-time** is too late to find out that you don't have landing gear!
- Always better to catch errors at compile time!
- This is the main purpose of Strong Typing [OOSC2, Chapter 17].
- Genericity helps to enforce Strong Typing, i.e. no run-time typing errors
 - » **LIST[INTEGER]**
 - » **LIST[BOOK]**
 - » **LIST[STRING]**