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- Instructor: Parke Godfrey
- Exam Duration: 75 minutes
- Term: winter 2009

Answer the following questions to the best of your knowledge. The exam is closed-book and closed-notes. Questions will not be answered during the test. Should you feel a question needs an assumption to be able to answer it, write the assumptions you need along with your answer. If you need more room to write an answer, clearly indicate where you are continuing the answer.

There are four major questions worth 10 points each for 40 points in total.

## Regrade Policy

- Regrading should only be requested in writing. Write what you would like to be reconsidered. Note, however, that an exam accepted for regrading will be reviewed and regraded in entirety (all questions).

| Grading Box |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 .}$ | $/ 10$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 .}$ | $/ 10$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 .}$ | $/ 10$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 .}$ | $/ 10$ |  |
| Total | $/ 40$ |  |

1. Query Semantics. Say what? (10 points)

| Customer |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| cust\# <br> cname | PK |
| fav_colour |  |
| phone\# |  |


| Item |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| item\# | PK |
| prod\# | FK to Product |
| cust\# | FK to Customer |
| colour |  |
| date_sold |  |


| Product |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| prod\# <br> pname <br> cost <br> maker | FK to Company |


| Avail_Colours |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| prod\# <br> colour | PK, FK to Product <br> PK |

Figure 1: Colour Schema.
Consider the schema in Figure 1 as we have used in class.
For each of the following, say briefly in plain English-as the descriptions for Question 2a2 d -what the query means, as though you are explaining it to a non-technical person.
Note that simply paraphrasing the query -e.g., "This query finds, for all cust\#'s such that there exists a prod\#, for all. . ." -is not adequate!
a. (2 points)

```
select C.cust#, C.cname, P.prod#, P.pname
    from Customer C, Item I, Product P
    where C.cust# = I.cust# and I.prod# = P.prod#
        and P.cost > 1000
        and I.date_sold >= '1 May 2008';
```

b. (3 points)

```
select P.prod#, P.pname, count(*) as number, sum(cost) as amount
```

            from Item I, Product P
            where I.prod\# = P.prod\#
            group by P.prod\#, P.pname;
    c. (2 points)
$\pi_{\text {cname }}\left(\sigma_{\text {colour=fav_colour }}(\right.$ Customer $\bowtie$ Item $\left.)\right)$
d. (3 points)
select C.cust\#, C.cname, P.prod\#, P.pname
from Customer C, Product P
where not exists (
select A.colour
from Avail_Colour A
where P.prod\# = A.prod\#
except
select I.colour
from Item I
where C.cust\# = I.cust\# and P.prod\# = I.prod\#
);
2. SQL Amazing queries. (10 points)

You have just gone work for Amazing.com. Congratulations!

| Customer(cno, cname, address) | Stock(isbn, from, qnty, price) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Author(ano, aname, birth, country) | FK (isbn) refs Book |
| Book(isbn, title, year, publisher, language) | Purchase(nno, isbn, when, from, qnty) |
| Wrote(ano, isbn) | FK (cno) refs Customer |
| FK (ano) refs Author | FK (isbn, from) refs Stock |
| FK (isbn) refs Book | Payment( cno , when, amount) |
|  | FK (cno) refs Customer |

Figure 2: Amazing.com Schema.
The basic schema of the main database at Amazing.com is shown in Figure 2. The underlined attributes indicate a table's primary key (and are hence not nullable). Additionally, attributes that are in italics are not nullable. Foreign keys are indicated by FK.
The attribute price ( $>0$ ) in Stock tells how much a customer pays for that book. The attribute from in Purchase indicates where the stock was pulled from, and its attribute qnty ( $>0$ ) indicates the number of copies of the book the customer bought in that purchase. In Payment, attribute amount ( $>0$ ) indicates a payment the customer has made.

Write queries in SQL with respect to the schema in Figure 2 for the following.
a. (3 points) Report cno and cname for each customer who has bought a book written in Japanese whose author's country is Canada.
b. (2 points) Report cno, cname, paid for each customer who has ever made a payment, for which paid is the total of that customer's payments.
c. (3 points) Report cno, cname, spent for each customer who has ever made a purchase, for which spent is the total of that customer's purchases.

## d. (2 points)

Write an SQL query to report for each customer how much he or she owes the bookstore. For each row, report cname, cno, address, and amount. If the bookstore owes the customer money, report the amount as a negative number.
Be careful. A customer may never have bought anything, but has made a payment. (Weird.) Or a customer may have bought something, but not yet have made any payments. (More common.) Make certain that each customer gets reported.
Assume you have correct SQL for Questions 2b and 2c to use in composing your query.
with
Paid (cno, cname, paid) as (
$\vdots$
),
Spent (cno, cname, spent) as (
$\vdots$
)
3. Query Logic. Careful what you ask for! (10 points)
[Multiple Choice]
The primary key of a relation is indicated by its underlined attributes. No attributes are nullable.
a. In relational algebra, the intersection operator $(\cap)$ is not logically redundant if we only have additionally
A. join $(\bowtie)$
B. crossproduct $(\times)$, select $(\sigma)$, and project $(\pi)$
C. difference ( - ) and union ( $\cup$ )
D. crossproduct $(\times)$ and difference $(-)$
E. crossproduct $(\times)$ and union ( $\cup$ )
b. Consider the relations $\mathbf{R}(\underline{A}, B)$ and $\mathbf{S}(A, \underline{B})$, where $\mathbf{R}$ has a foreign key referencing $\mathbf{S}$ via $B$, and $\mathbf{S}$ has a foreign key referencing $\mathbf{R}$ via $A$.
Which of the following is guaranteed to produce fewer than, or at most the same, number of tuples as any of the others?
A. $\mathbf{R} \bowtie \pi_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathbf{S})$
B. $\pi_{A}(R) \bowtie S$
C. $R \bowtie S$
D. $R \cup S$
E. There is not enough information to answer this.
c. Consider the relations $\mathbf{R}(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{S}(B, C)$.

One of these is not like the others. That is, one can evaluate differently than the other four. Which one?
A. $\pi_{A}(\mathbf{R} \bowtie \mathbf{S})$
B. $\pi_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{R})-\left(\pi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{R}-\pi_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}(\mathbf{R} \bowtie \mathbf{S})\right)\right)$
C. $\pi_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{R})-\left(\pi_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{R})-\pi_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{R} \bowtie \mathbf{S})\right)$
D. $\pi_{A}\left(\mathbf{R} \cap\left(\pi_{A}(\mathbf{R}) \times \pi_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathbf{S})\right)\right)$
E. $\pi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\left(\mathbf{R} \times \pi_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbf{S})\right) \cap\left(\pi_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{S}\right)\right)$
d. Consider the schema $\mathbf{R}(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$, and $\mathbf{S}(\underline{B}, \underline{C})$ (with no FKs).

One of these things is not like the other. That is, one of them may evaluate differently than the others. Which one?
A. $\{\langle A, C\rangle \mid \exists B(\langle A, B\rangle \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow\langle B, C\rangle \in \mathbf{S})\}$
B. select distinct R.A, S.C from R, S where R.B = S.B;
C. $\pi_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}}(\mathbf{R} \bowtie \mathbf{S})$
D. select distinct R.A, S.C from R, S s1
where R.B in (select s2.B from $S$ s2 where s1.C = s2.C);
E. $\{\langle A, C\rangle \mid \neg \exists \mathrm{B}(\langle A, B\rangle \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow\langle B, C\rangle \notin \mathbf{S})\}$

| $\mathbf{R}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | B |
| 1 | a |
| 2 | b |
| 2 | c |
| 3 | d |


| $\mathbf{S}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | C |
| b | 5 |
| b | 6 |
| c | 5 |
| d | 6 |
| e | 5 |

Two tables: R\&S.


All the join operations below are natural joins.
e. What is the resulting table of $\{\langle A, B, C\rangle \mid\langle A, B\rangle \in \mathbf{R} \wedge \exists D(\langle D, C\rangle \in \mathbf{S})\}$ ?
A. I
B. II
C. III
D. IV
E. V
f. What is the resulting table of $\{\langle A, B, C\rangle \mid\langle A, B\rangle \in \mathbf{R} \wedge\langle B, A\rangle \in \mathbf{S}\}$ ?
A. I
B. II
C. III
D. IV
E. V
g. What is the resulting table of $\{\langle p . A, p . B, q \cdot C\rangle \mid p \in \mathbf{R} \wedge q \in \mathbf{S} \wedge p . B=q . B\}$ ?
A. I
B. II
C. III
D. IV
E. V

For 3 i and 3 h :
I. a lossless join decomposition
II. dependency preserving
h. For any schema,
A. there is always a 3 NF refinement that is both $\mathbf{I}$ and II.
B. there is always a 3 NF refinement that is I, but not necessarily II.
C. there is always a 3 NF refinement that is II, but not necessarily I.
D. there is never a 3NF refinement that is both $\mathbf{I}$ and II.
E. there is never a 3 NF refinement that is $\mathbf{I}$ or $\mathbf{I I}$.
i. For any schema,
A. there is always a BCNF refinement that is both $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I I}$.
B. there is always a BCNF refinement that is I, but not necessarily II.
C. there is always a BCNF refinement that is II, but not necessarily $\mathbf{I}$.
D. there is never a BCNF refinement that is both $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I I}$.
E. there is never a BCNF refinement that is $\mathbf{I}$ or II.
j. Consider table $\mathbf{R}$ with attributes A, B, C, D, and E. What is the largest number of candidate keys that $\mathbf{R}$ could simultaneously have?
A. 1
B. 5
C. 10
D. 31
E. 365
4. Schema Refinement. I'm quite refined myself. (10 points)
[Exercise]
Consider the relation $\mathbf{R}$ with attributes $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$, and G and with the following functional dependencies (FDs):

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{AD} & \mapsto \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{BE} & \mapsto \mathrm{~F} \\
\mathrm{~B} & \mapsto \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{AF} & \mapsto \mathrm{G} \\
& \mathrm{~F} & \mapsto \mathrm{E}
\end{array}
$$

a. (2 points) What are the candidate keys of $\mathbf{R}$ ?
b. (5 points) Provide a BCNF lossless-join decomposition for $\mathbf{R}$ (ABCDEFG). Show your steps and your decomposition tree.
c. (3 points) Provide a BCNF decomposition that is lossless and is dependency preserving, or explain why there is none in this case.

Extra space.

