^{CSE 3221.3} Operating System Fundamentals

No.8

Memory Management (1)

Prof. Hui Jiang Dept of Computer Science and Engineering York University

Memory Management

- A program usually resides on a disc as a binary executable file.
- The program can be moved between disk and memory.In multiprogramming, we keep several programs in memory.
- Program must be brought into memory and placed within a process for it to be executed.
- Memory management algorithms:
- Contiguous Memory Allocation.
- Paging.
- Segmentation.
- Segmentation with paging
- Memory management needs hardware support MMU.

Background

- Physical memory consists of a large array of words or bytes, each
 with its own address.
- In a typical instruction-execution cycle:
 - CPU fetches an instruction from memory according to PC .
- The instruction is decoded.
- CPU may fetch operands from memory according to the address in the instruction. (optional)
- CPU execute in registers
- CPU saves results into a memory address (optional)
- CPU generates address from instruction counter, program address,etc.
- CPU sends the address to a memory management unit (MMU), which is hardware to actually locate the memory at certain location.
 - Memory mapping.
- Memory protection.

╪╫┶_┻╫┲╪╖╹╪╬╓╼╪╫┍╧╢







Address Binding

- Address binding: binding the logical memory addresses in instructions and data to physical memory addresses.
- In source programs: symbolic addresses (e.g., count, i, j, etc.)
- A compiler will bind each symbolic address to a relocatable address (e.g. 14 bytes from the beginning of the module)
- address (e.g. 14 bytes from the beginning of the module) - The linkage editor or loader will bind each relocatable address to a logical address (e.g., 4014)
- In run-time, MUU will bind each logical address to a physical address (e.g., 074014)
- The final physical address is used to locate memory.
- Allow a user program to be loaded in any part of the physical memory → address binding in run-time
- → completely separate physical address from logical address

╧╣╫┪╌╫╢┶╶╫╧╩╩╴╫╚╤╪╢╔╼╧╝╓╒╤╫╁╔╧┱╻┍╌╧╩┕

Logical vs. Physical address space (2)

- Separating logical address from physical address: - Requires hardware support - MMI does address mapping dynamically.
- Why separating logical address from physical address?
 - Easier for compiler.
 - And more.
 - Consider two old methods ...

Address Binding: compile-time

- In compiling, physical address is generated for every instruction.
- The compiler has to know where the process will reside in memory.
- The code can not change location in memory unless it is re-compiled.
- No separation of logical and physical address spaces.
- Example: .COM format in MS-DOS.

Address Binding: load-time

- The compiler generate re-locatable code.
- When OS loading code to memory, physical address is generated for every instruction in the program.
- The process can be loaded into different memory locations.
- But once loaded, it can not move during execution.
- Loading a program is slow.



Dynamical Loading

- Routine is not loaded until it is called.
- Better memory-space utilization; unused routine is never loaded.
- Useful when large amounts of code are needed to handle infrequently occurring cases.
- No special support from the operating system is required; Implemented through program design.
- Each program maintains an address table to indicate which module is in memory and which is not.



Dynamical Linking

• Linking postponed until execution time.

- In dynamic linking, a stub, is included in the executable image for each library-routine reference.
- Stub: used to locate the appropriate memory-resident library routine or load the library of it is not in memory.
- Stub replaces itself with the address of the routine, and executes
- the routine.

 Operating system needed to check if the routine is in other
- processes' memory address, and allow multiple processes to access the same memory space
- Dynamical linking is useful for shared libraries.







OS

process 1

process 4

process 3

process 5

6





How to satisfy a request of size *n* from a list of free holes that have various size.

- First-fit: Allocate the first hole that is big enough.
- Best-fit: Allocate the smallest hole that is big enough; must search entire list, unless ordered by size. Produces the smallest leftover hole.
- Worst-fit: Allocate the *largest* hole; must also search entire list. Produces the largest leftover hole.
- 1. First-fit and best-fit better than worst-fit in terms of speed and storage utilization.
- 2. First-fit is faster than best-fit.

Contiguous Memory Allocation: External Fragmentation

- External fragmentation total memory space exists to satisfy a request, but it is not contiguous.
- Contiguous memory allocation suffers serious external fragmentation; Free memory is quickly broken into little pieces.
 50-percent rule for first fit (1/3 is wasted)
- Reduce external fragmentation by compaction
 - Shuffle memory contents to place all free memory together in one large block.
 - Compaction is possible only if relocation is dynamic, and is done at execution time.
 - Compaction is very costly
- Reduce external fragmentation by better memory management methods:
- Paging

Contiguous Memory Allocation: Expanding memory

How to allocate more memory to an existing process?

╧<u></u>╗╫┶╶╫╨┥╧╫╩╧╜╠╧╂╢╔╤╫╬╧┿╻┍╧

Move-and-Copy may be needed.